CYBERBULLYING Perpetrator

and its ASSOCIATED FACTORS among School-going Adolescents in Malaysia



Hamizatul Akmal Abd Hamid, Tania Gayle Robert Lourdes, Nur Faraeein Zainal Abidin, Muhammad Hanafi Bakri, Halizah Mat Rifin, Mohamad Aznuddin Abd Razak, Mohd Farihan Md Yatim, Lim Kuang Kuay

Institute for Public Health, National Institutes of Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia

INTRODUCTION

- Cyberbullying is becoming a significant issue in schools as a result of the development of digital communication technology.
- However, research is still scarce on the factors associated with cyberbullying perpetration activities among adolescents, especially in middle-income countries like Malaysia [1].
- This study aims to determine the associated factors of cyberbullying perpetrators among school-going adolescents in Malaysia.

METHODOLOGY

- Data was obtained from the National Health and Morbidity Survey 2022, a multistage stratified cross-sectional study which was conducted among school-going adolescents aged 13 to 17 years old.
- A total of 33,523 adolescents participated in this study by answering the selfadministered questionnaire.
- The perpetration of cyberbullying was defined as bullying or harassment through the internet, cell phones, or other electronic devices a few times within a year.
- Complex sample design analysis was used to estimate the prevalence and complex sampling multivariable logistic regression was used to determine factors associated with cyberbullying perpetration. All analysis was done using SPSS version 26.0.

RESULTS

- The prevalence of cyberbullying perpetration among school-going adolescents in Malaysia was 18.3% (95% CI: 17.47, 19.09).
- Male adolescents showed a higher prevalence [21.7% (95% CI: 17.83, 26.20)] compared to females [16.1% (95% CI: 13.36, 19.27)].
- The most common ways of involvement in cyberbullying activities (perpetrator) among school-going adolescents are shown in Table 1.
- Factors associated with cyberbullying perpetrator among school-going adolescents are shown in Table 2.

Table 1: Most common ways of involvement in cyberbullying activities (perpetrator) a few times within a year or more among school-going adolescents in Malaysia, 2022 (N=5822)

	Unweighted	Estimated	Prevalence	95 % CI		
Cyberbullying activities	count	population	(%)	Lower	Upper	
Ever made rude comments to anyone online	3502	231844	11.3	10.69	11.94	
Ever sent or posted others' embarassing photos online	1540	98398	4.8	4.37	5.26	
Ever spread rumours about someone online	2220	140934	6.9	6.46	7.31	
Ever made threatening comments to hurt someone online	588	41978	2.0	1.81	2.32	
Ever asked someone to talk about sex online	586	37377	1.8	1.60	2.08	
Ever asked someone to do something sexual online	313	20735	1.0	0.83	1.23	

DISCUSSION

- The prevalence of perpetration of cyberbullying among school-going adolescents found in this study was 18.3%. The findings were slightly lower compared to Indonesia, 36% and Vietnam, 24%. Nonetheless, it was consistent with the finding of the systematic review by Zhu C et al (2021), that cyberbullying perpetration ranged from 6.0% to 46.3% based on 63 references and increased significantly in the observed years duration[2].
- The most common form of adolescent involvement in cyberbullying activities, 'Ever making rude comments to anyone online' was similar to the study conducted by Yude C et al (2020)[3].
- Multiple logistic regression analysis showed that male adolescents, anxiety, depression, having no close friend, being involved in physical fights, experiencing physical and verbal abuse, being bullied, truancy, a lack of peer support, being smokers, alcohol drinkers, a lack of parental connectedness, and a lack of parental privacy were significantly associated with cyberbullying perpetration. These findings were consistent with those in other previous studies [4-6].

References:

- 1. Marret MJ & Choo WY. Factors associated with online victimisation among Malaysian adolescents who use
- social networking sites: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open. 2017;7(6):e014959. 2. Zhu, C., Huang, S., Evans, R. & Zhang, W. Cyberbullying Among Adolescents and Children: A Comprehensive Review of the Global Situation, Risk Factors, and Preventive Measures. Front. Public Heal. 9, 1–12 (2021)
- 3. Yudes C, Rey L, Extremera N. Predictive Factors of Cyberbullying Perpetration amongst Spanish Adolescents. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020; 17(11):3967.
- 4. Changho Lee, Namin Shin. Prevalence of cyberbullying and predictors of cyberbullying perpetration among Korean adolescents. Computers in Human Behavior. Volume 68, Pages 352-358. 2017.
- 5. Paez, G.R. Assessing Predictors of Cyberbullying Perpetration Among Adolescents: the Influence of Individual Factors, Attachments, and Prior Victimization. Int Journal of Bullying Prevention 2, 149-159 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1007/s42380-019-00025-7.
- 6.Chen, L., Ho, S. S., & Lwin, M. O. (2017). A meta-analysis of factors predicting cyberbullying perpetration and victimization: From the social cognitive and media effects approach. New Media & Society, 19(8), 1194-1213. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444816634037

Manialaa	Cml. C-	95% CI			A -1:	95% CI		
Variables Sex	Crude OR	Lower Upper		ρ-value	Adjusted OR	Lower Upper		p-value
			, ,					
Male	1.82	1.68	1.97	<0.001*	2.24	2.02	2.49	<0.00
	1.02	1.00	1.77	<0.001	2.24	2.02	2.49	\0.00
Female	1							
Age	ı							
13 years old	0.78	0.67	0.92	0.003*	0.86	0.74	1.01	0.062
14 years old	1.03	0.90	1.18	0.703	1.04	0.91	1.20	0.548
15 years old	1.02	0.89	1.15	0.811	1.07	0.95	1.22	0.278
16 years old	1.01	0.88	1.15	0.929	1.05	0.92	1.20	0.454
17 years and older	1				1			
Parent's Marital Status								
Married	0.85	0.77	0.92	<0.001*	0.96	0.87	1.05	0.362
Separated or widowed	1.00				1			
Loneliness								
Yes	1.57	1.44	1.72	<0.001*	0.94	0.84	1.04	0.241
 No	1				1			
Anxiety	1	1	1	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
Yes	1.72	1.57	1.90	<0.001*	1.19	1.06	1.34	0.003
	1.72	1.57	1.70	\U.UU1	1.19	1.00	1.04	0.003
No Having class friend	1				l			
Having close friend	4.5	2.5.	4.1-	2.2-			4	5 :
Yes	1.01	0.85	1.19	0.938	1.41	1.17	1.70	<0.00
No	1				1			
Depression								
Yes	1.91	1.76	2.06	<0.001*	1.46	1.32	1.61	<0.00
No	1				1			
Involved in physical fight								
Yes	2.88	2.65	3.14	<0.001*	1.73	1.58	1.90	<0.00
No	1				1			
Being physically abused at home								
Yes	2.88	2.57	3.22	<0.001*	1.31	1.15	1.49	<0.001
No	1				1			
Being verbally abused								
Yes	2.33	2.16	2.52	<0.001*	1.96	1.79	2.15	<0.00
No	1				1			
Having been bullied		50.						
Yes	2.92	2.56	3.33	<0.001*	1.63	1.42	1.88	<0.00
No	1	2.30	3.33	(0.001	1.03	1, 12	1.00	٧٥.٥٥
Truancy	4.74	4.50	4.00	.0.004*	100	4.47	1.10	.0.00
Yes	1.74	1.59	1.89	<0.001*	1.28	1.17	1.40	<0.00
No	1				1			
Lack of peer support								
Yes	0.96	0.88	1.05	0.403	0.81	0.73	0.88	<0.00
No	1				1			
Lack of parental connectedness	M		1		$a \times a$			
Yes	1.27	1.17	1.38	<0.001*	1.13	1.02	1.26	0.017 ⁵
No	1				1			
Lack of parental bonding								
Yes	1.14	1.04	1.25	0.004*	0.98	0.88	1.08	0.657
No	1				1			
Parental respect for privacy								
Parent do not respect their privacy	1.51	1.39	1.65	<0.001*	1.19	1.08	1.30	<0.00
Parent respect their privacy	1				1			
Smoker	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			l ·			
Yes	2.33	2.12	2.56	<0.001*	1.49	1.35	1.65	<0.00
	۷.۵۵	۷.۱۷	2.30	\U.UU1'	1.47	1.JJ	1.03	\U.UU
No	<u> </u>							
Alcohol drinker Yes	2.22	1.94	2.53	<0.001*	1.73	1.46	2.05	<0.00

* ρ -value < α = 0.05 was considered statistically significant

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, one in five school-going adolescents in Malaysia was involved in cyberbullying perpetration activities. To date, no adequate strategies aimed at adolescents to address the ethics of online social communication have been implemented. Therefore, awareness programmes for cyberbullying should now focus on the perpetrator, in order to reduce the prevalence of cyberbullying activities among school-going adolescents.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Director General of Health Malaysia for his permission to present this poster.