

Prevalence Of Impaired Fasting Glycemia (IFG) And Its Associated Factors Among The Malaysian Adult Population : Findings From National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2019

Introduction

- Impaired Fasting Glycaemia (IFG) occurs when a person's blood glucose levels are raised, but not high enough to classify as Diabetes Mellitus. It is defined as Fasting Blood Glucose (FBG) of 5.6 -6.9mmol/L but the exact range varies depending on the guidelines.
- Individual with IFG are more likely to develop T2DM as well as an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (1).
- This study was conducted in order to learn more about the undiagnosed diabetic cases that contribute to cardiovascular complications.

Objective

To determine the prevalence of Impaired Fasting Glycemia (IFG) among adults aged 18 years old and above in Malaysia and its associated factors.

Methodology

Study design	 A community-based, cross sectional study (2). Two staged stratified cluster sampling. Data collection : 14th July to 2nd October 2019.
Survey materials	 Structured pre-tested questionnaire. Validated and calibrated clinical assessment tools.
Sample selection	 18 years old and above. 13 states and 3 federal territories. 6183 respondents were selected.
Statistical analysis	 Socio-demographic characteristics was determined using descriptive analysis. Multiple Logistic Regression Analysis. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

We would like to thank the Director General of Health, Malaysia for the permission to public Health for the support and encouragement of expert contribution to conduct this study. We also gratefully acknowledge the data collectors and staff involved in this study.

Murnizar Mokhtar¹, Nor Azian Mohd Zaki, Nurul Huda Ibrahim Centre for Nutrition Epidemiology Research, Institute for Public Health, National Institute of Health, Ministry of Health

Results										
Table 1 : Socio-demograph		Table 2: Factors associated with Impaired Fasting Glycemia (IFG).								
Characteristic / Category	n	%		Characteristics /	OR (95% CI)	P	20R (95% CI)	P value	•	
Locality				Category		value		i value		
Urban	3739	60.5		Locality						
Rural	2444	39.5		Urban	1.11 (0.86, 1.44)	0.414	1.06 (0.80, 1.40)	0.692		
<u>Sex</u>				Rural	1.00 (ref)		1.00 (ref)		•	
Male	2842	46.0		Sex						
Female	3341	54.0		Male	0.89 (0.74, 1.09)	0.268	0.99 (0.79, 1.25)		•	
Age group				Female	1.00 (ref)		1.00 (ref)			
18-39	2928	47.7		Age group					•	
40-59	2008	32.5		<u>18-39</u>	1 00 (ref)		1 00 (ref)			
≥ 60	1247	20.2		40-59	1 46 (1 19 1 79)	<0.001	1 17 (0 93 1 47)	0 175		
<u>Ethnicity</u>				> 60	1 79 (1 41 2 27)	<0.001	1 51 (1 01 2 06)	0.110		
Malay	3938	63.7		Ethnicity					•	
Chinese	710	11.5		Malay	1 11 (0 66 1 86)	0 702	1 13 (0 66 1 94)	0.656		
Indian	302	4.9		Chinese		0.702	0.99(0.55, 1.81)	0.000		
Others	1233	19.9		Indian	0.60(0.30, 1.21)	0.005	0.33(0.33, 1.01) 0.66(0.38, 1.17)	0.303		
Marital status				Othors	1.00 (rof)	0.135	1.00 (rof)	0.130		
Unmarried	2148	34.7		Marital status						
Married	4035	65.3		<u>Internal Status</u>	1.00 (rof)		1.00 (rof)			
Education status				Married		<0.001		0.001		
No education / Primary education	1717	27.8			1.30 (1.30, 1.33)		1.40 (1.10, 1.04)			
Secondary education	2929	47.4		<u>Euucation /</u>		0.211	1 04 (0 72 1 40)	0 0 0 0		
Tertiary education	1522	24.6		Primary education	1.10 (0.00, 1.57)	0.311	1.04 (0.73, 1.46)	0.020		
Household income				Secondary education	1.34 (1.05, 1.70)	0.018	1.15 (0.89 1.49)	0.279		
Bottom 40	3944	63.8		Tertiary education	1.00 (ref)		1.00 (ref)			
Middle 40	1422	23.0		Household income						
Top 20	495	8.0		Bottom 40	1 34 (0 92 1 97)	0 134	1 34 (0 89 2 04)	0 165	1	
				Middle 40	1.0+(0.02, 1.07) 1.00 (ref)	0.104	1.01 (0.00, 2.04)	0.100		
Figure 1 · Overall Drevalenc	2			Top 20		0 444	1 16 (0 75 1 79)	0.510	/	

Figure 1 : Overall Prevalence



Married people was at Adults 60 years & older least / Limes had **1** times greater more likely to have IFG chance of having IFG

cussion

other similar study, a cross-sectional study among 12000 seholds across India was done in 2017 and 2018, found that 5% of respondents had impaired fasting glucose levels (3).

ng information from the Korea National Health and Nutrition amination Survey from 2013 to 2016, a nationally representative yey of the Korean population revealed a prevalence of 25.3% aired fasting glucose (4).

nilar study was conducted in Malaysia in 2018, by obtaining data n NHMS 2015, showed a prevalence of 9.2% of undiagnosed Type iabetes Mellitus (5).

prevalence of IFG rises with age, with the age group of 60 and r having the highest frequency across the board.

ross-sectional study was conducted among 15 603 non-diabetic ple in Hong Kong, to investigate the relationship between aging plasma glucose levels. The results showed that plasma glucose els increased significantly with age (6).

rried individual had a greater incidence of IFG than unmarried ple, as showed in multivariate analysis. Similar finding reported in MS 2015 (5).

nclusion

prevalence of Impaired Fasting Glycemia (IFG) among adults ed 18 years old and above in Malaysia according to data obtained 2019 was 22.6%, with age of 60 and above and married were the sociated factors. Policies need to be tailored for more vigorous eening among this group for prompt treatment, diagnosis and atment to prevent complications.

errences

annick B, Dagogo-Jack S. Prediabetes and Cardiovascular sease: Pathophysiology and Interventions for Prevention and Risk duction. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am. 2018 Mar;47(1):33-50. doi: 10.1016/j.ecl.2017.10.001. PMID: 29407055; PMCID: PMC5806140

2. Institute for Public Health (IPH), National Institutes of Health, Ministry of Health Malaysia. 2020. National Health and Morbidity Survey (NHMS) 2019: Vol. I: NCDs – Non-Communicable Diseases: Risk Factors and other Health Problems.

3. Mathur P, Leburu S, Kulothungan V. Prevalence, Awareness, Treatment and Control of Diabetes in India From the Countrywide National NCD Monitoring Survey. Front Public Health. 2022 Mar 14;10:748157. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.748157. PMID: 35359772; PMCID: PMC8964146.

4. Kim BY, Won JC, Lee JH, Kim HS, Park JH, Ha KH, Won KC, Kim DJ, Park KS. Diabetes Fact Sheets in Korea, 2018: An Appraisal of Current Status. Diabetes Metab J. 2019 Aug;43(4):487-494. doi: 10.4093/dmj.2019.0067. Epub 2019 Jul 17. PMID: 31339012; PMCID: PMC6712228.

5. Ismail, H., Omar, M. Z., Saminathan, T. A., Yusof, M. Z., Zaki, N. a. M., Lim, K. H., & Aris, T. (2018). Prevalence of Undiagnosed Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus and Its Associated Factors Among the Malaysian Population: The 2015 National Health and Morbidity Survey, Malaysia. Global Journal of Health Science, 10(8), 153. https://doi.org/10.5539/gjhs.v10n8p153

6. Ko GT, Wai HP, Tang JS. Effects of age on plasma glucose levels in non-diabetic Hong Kong Chinese. Croat Med J. 2006 Oct;47(5):709-13. PMID: 17042062; PMCID: PMC2080461.